Case 2:19-cv-00068-JJT--JFM Document 8 Filed 03/13/19

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Page 1 of 10

FILED LODGED
COPY

MAR 1 3 2019

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
BY DEPUTY

Arlena Minerva; Willes , Petitioner,

CASE NO: CV-19-00068-PHX-JJT(JFM)

Vs

Arizona Department of Child Safety and et al., Respondents.

Additional Support and Letter of Habeas Corpus

Case Number: CV-19-00068-PHX-JJT (JFM)

CC: Honorable Judge J. Tuchi

Honorable Judge J. Tuchi:

On March 1rst, 2019, I requested a hearing in regards to the status of the Habeas Corpus that was filed on behalf on my son, JD;Z ( See Exhibit A). The reason being my son's very life is at stake here. Relief is hereby respectfully and duly perfected, due and owing by, under and through a matter of right, fact, truth and Justice.

Therefore, again I am requesting a hearing in regards to the status of the Habeas Corpus I filed January 7th and February 7th, 2019 and hereby respectfully demand relief and Justice on behalf of my son JD;Z (see Exhibit A) and that he be returned back into my safe and loving care where he truly belongs.

Thank you,

Arlena Minerva; Willes

Alena Minerva; willes

## DIANA THEOS operating as business UNKNOWN:

My position is that by the egregious actions of everyone involved my son has been held against his will and mine (His loving Mother).

My position is this is far from being settled . Unless my son is immediately returned back into my safe and loving care where he truly belongs this is far from being settled in my eyes and from my position MS.THEOS . I sent you an email regarding my position and it has been what it has always been, for the false allegations (which can be proven by past medical records and educational records as such), to be dismissed with extreme prejudice and never to bother my son or me and my family ever again!

The needless pain and suffering that was brought into my sons life and mine and my families life by unfounded false allegations can also be proven!

His father knows I am a great mother and has even testified over and over again as such and by saying we have a loving bond that does not need to be separated that should say it all to everyone involved that I am a loving mother that does not deserve to be separated from my son. So why are all involved putting my son through this and me by trying to seperate the loving bond we have together?

Truth is MS. THEOS it cannot be proven I am an unfit mother and I know everyone knows that which is the only reason for a settlement conference if you have to dispute my parental rights not being severed then obviously my son should be immediately returned back into my safe and loving care where he truly belongs. Me and my sons constitutional right to dwell in peace and safety has been violated and yes even state law was violated in the unlawful removal of my son from my safe and loving care by unfounded false allegations which can be proven as well as Arizona State Parental Bill of Rights were Violated to govern my son's education and medical care my son was removed from my safe and loving care not because of medical neglect and abuse but all because I wanted to confirm Dr. Mullahs medical opinion with my sons PCP, which again can be proven!

My Position stands as follows: Return my son, my biological property immediately back into my safe and loving care where he belongs or this matter will be settled in my jurisdiction regarding this matter.

Alena Mineria; Willes

Arlena Minerva: Willes

# DIANA THEOS operating as business as UNKNOWN:

Allena Mineria, hilles

Jurisdiction is now in the Original Jurisdiction, Original venue, Original Law form private side in plenary Equity in Federal Court. The Respondents: Department of Child Safety and et al do not have any Jurisdiction as the respondents over me or my son period. the only way this matter is to be settled is by realizing what jurisdiction this is actually in and for the immediate return of my son, my biological property immediately back into my safe and loving care where he truly belongs case dismissed with extreme prejudice. This does not change what I filed in my jurisdiction and since as the respondents have not met me in my legal jurisdiction you are now in default and dishonor. My sons and my constitutional rights, not to mention state law and federal law have been egregiously violated by the Arizona Department of child safety and et al. This is far from being settled unless all false allegations are dismissed with extreme prejudice by the Arizona Department of Child Safety and et al and my son immediately returned back into my safe and loving care where he truly belongs!

Arlena Minerva ; Willes

Case 2:39-cv-20068-ADJ-J-M-Dogoment 8 Filedopa 2019 Page 5 of 16 abe as

CASE NUMBER: CV-19-0008-PHX-JJT (JFM)

P.O. BOX 10898 GLENDALE, AZ 85318 SBN# 021353 623-376-7172 diana@dianatheos.com

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN THE MATTER OF:	) ) )	JD 36346 MOTION FOR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO RULE 16.1
Dep 12/30/2002 Persons under the age of Eighteen	)	(Hon. Nicholas Hoskins)

COMES NOW THE CHILD, ABOVE NAMED, BY AND THROUGH UNDERSIGNED COUNSEL and respectfully requests this Court to ORDER all parties to participate in a Settlement Conference pursuant to Rule 16.1 (Arizona Rules Civil Procedure) and Rule 65 (Rules of Procedure for the Juvenile Court).

This motion is based upon the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

mother, until his removal by the Department of Child Safety. He is able to articulate his wishes and desires. Jonathan has asserted his disagreement with the termination of his Mother's parental rights. Jonathan would argue that Mother's rights do not need to be terminated insofar as the plan of the Department of Child Safety is to place Jonathan with his Father, Mr. Billy Zeek, in West Virginia. Mr. Zeek

nathan and allow Jonathan to		
maintain his parent-child relationship with Mother. Jonathan contests the Motion to		
Sever Mother's Parental Rights. Father, Mr. Zeek, has stated that he is unsure that		
termination of Mother's parental rights is in Jonathan's best interests due to the		
close relationship between the two. The Guardian ad Litem and the State are in		
favor of termination of Mother's parental rights. Mother has not provided a		
It is requested that the Court order a settlement conference within the next 15 days		
settlement conference shall be		
, 2019.		
DIANA THEOS, P.L.L.C.		
Diana Theos, Esquire  or hand-delivery to		

Diana Theos, Esquire Attorney for Jonathan Zeek

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN THE MATTER OF:	) JD 36346	
DOB 12/30/2002	) ) ORDER )	
Persons under the age of Eighteen	) (Hon. Nicholas Hoskins )	
WHEREAS, this matter came on by	Motion by the Attorney for the Child;	
WHEREAS, the Court is fully advised in the premises;		
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED, as follows:		
Pursuant to Rule 16.1 (A.R.C.P.) and Rule 65 of the Rules of Procedure for		
Juvenile Court, the parties shall participate in a settlement Conference.		
The Conference shall be scheduled before the Hon.		
On theday of	,2019. Each party shall attend and shall	
ensure that all attendees have the power	to enter into a binding settlement	
agreement.		
It is further ordered that all parties	s shall submit Memoranda five days prior	

to the Settlement Conference as set forth in Rule 16.1. All communications that take

place in the Settlement Conference shall remain confidential.

Dated thisday of March, 2019.	
	BY THE COURT
	and the state of t

Chapter VI: Oaths and Subscriptions; Incompatibility of and Exclusion from Offices; Pecuniary Qualifications; Commissions; Writs; Confirmation of Laws; Habeas Corpus; The Enacting Style; Continuance of Officers; Provision for a Future Revisal of the Constitution, etc[edit] Article [fedit]

[Any person chosen governor, lieutenant governor, councillor, senator or representative, and accepting the trust, shall before he proceed to execute the duties of his place or office, make and subscribe the following declaration, viz.--

"I, A. B., do declare, that I believe the Christian religion, and have a firm persuasion of its truth; and that I am seised and possessed, in my own right, of the property required by the constitution as one qualification for the office or place to which I am elected."

And the governor, lieutenant governor, and councillors shall make and subscribe the said declaration, in the presence of the two houses of assembly; and the senators and representatives first elected under this constitution, before the president and five of the council of the former constitution, and forever afterwards before the governor and council for the time being.]

And every person chosen to either of the places or offices aforesaid, as also any person appointed or commissioned to any judicial, executive, military, or other office under the government, shall, before he enters on the discharge of the business of his place or office, take and subscribe the following declaration, and oaths or affirmations, viz.--

["I, A. B., do truly and sincerely acknowledge, profess, testify and declare, that the Commonwealth of Massachusetts is, and of right ought to be, a free, sovereign and independent state; and I do swear, that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the said commonwealth, and that I will defend the same against traitorous conspiracies and all hostile attempts whatsoever: and that I do renounce and abjure all allegiance, subjection and obedience to the king, queen, or government of Great Britain, (as the case may be) and every other foreign power whatsoever: and that no foreign prince, person, prelate, state or potentate, hath, or ought to have, any jurisdiction, superiority, pre-eminence, authority, dispensing or other power, in any matter, civil, ecclesiastical or spiritual, within this commonwealth, except

the authority and power which is or may be vested by their constituents in the congress of the United States: and I do further testify and declare, that no man or body of men hath or can have any right to absolve or discharge me from the obligation of this oath, declaration, or affirmation; and that I do make this acknowledgment, profession, testimony, declaration, denial, renunciation and abjuration, heartily and truly, according to the common meaning and acceptation of the foregoing words, without any equivocation, mental evasion, or secret reservation whatsoever -- So help me, God."] "I, A. B., do solemnly swear and affirm, that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent on me as: according to the best of my abilities and understanding, agreeably, to the rules and regulations of the constitution, and the laws of this commonwealth -- So help me, God."

Allena Mineria, milles