

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> LODGED
<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED	<input type="checkbox"/> COPY
JUL 09 2019	
CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
BY <u>f</u>	DEPUTY

Arlena Minerva; Willes ,  
PETITIONER,

CASE NO.  
CV-19-00068-PHX-JJT(JFM)

Vs

Arizona Department of Child Safety,  
Maricopa Juvenile Court and  
Southwest Human Development, et al

RESPONDENTS

In regards: on behalf of my son  
JD; Z , and his immediate return back  
My safe and loving care where he truly  
belongs.

) REGARDING CASE #  
) CV19-00068-PHX-JJT(JFM)  
) NOTICE TO:  
) PROCEED WITH APPEALS, NOTICE OF  
) TORT CLAIM ON ALL RESPONDENTS  
) FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES AND CLAIM  
) TO REMOVE JUDGE J. TUCHI FROM THE  
) CASE FOR CAUSE, FRAUD & SWINDLE,  
) RULING FROM THE BENCH, NOT  
) ALLOWING ME AS AN INJURED PARTY  
) TO SPEAK ON MY BEHALF  
) THEREFORE NO DUE PROCESS OF LAW,  
) IMPROPER JURISDICTION REGARDING  
) THE RESPONDENTS

CC: (Respondents) Arizona Department of Child Safety : Olivia Douma, Sandra Leslie (Olivia Douma's Supervisor) , Lynn Hart (Sandra Leslie's Supervisor) , Lisa Burns, Kristina Harrison, Sabren Tawil, Melissa Kevitt, Rosemary Villa, Merlin Romero ( Rosemary Villa's Supervisor) , Tatum Renaud (Francisco Saentz III, Tatum Renaud's Supervisor) and Jessica Anthony Head Program Manager.

CC: (Respondent's ) Durango Juvenile "Court" : Diana Theos, , Daniel Saint III (terminated counsel) and Daniel Hernacki (Terminated Counsel), Jeff Myers (Terminated Counsel), Jean Elaine West, Brian Matthew Strickman, Administrator Nicolas Brian Hoskins and Administrator Timothy James Ryan -- All aided and abetted by Mark Brnovich and Debbie Oelze (All in Collusion to try and sever unlawfully my Parental rights).

CC : Respondents : Drue Kaplan , Raquel Vasquez , Carla White , Suzanne Shunk , Kelcie Blackson , Tera Stevenson, Kecia Blackson (Southwest Human Development).

Notice is to inform the listed respondents in this Affidavit, is to again inform the Defendant's of my intent to proceed with my appeals (Sui-Juris) of their dishonorable intention to violate my parental rights and by trying once again by their default and dishonor to try to sever the loving Mother and son bond which they have NO RIGHTS TO SEVER!

NOTICE TO ALL RESPONDENTS : You by default are in default and dishonor. Hereby this is to also inform you I, Arlena Minerva; Willes , A living woman , a living soul and ambassador of Jesus Christ am hereby subpoenaing all records and documents in regards to my son JD;Z and you as the Respondent's will have the open opportunity to bring the evidence you claim you have against me in my jurisdiction ( IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA CASE NO: CV-19-00068-PHX-JJT(JFM)).

Therefore , I Arlena Minerva; Willes am petitioning in my Jurisdiction for the subpoenaing of all matter of documentation from the respondents and "covered" parties that is pertinent to the life ,safety and well-being of my son JD;Z (See exhibit A and E) seeing the documentation listed under Exhibit H2 , the date is questionable implicating clearly that the documentation has been questionably altered to suit the respondents' means. I have every reason to therefore subpoena all said "confidential records" for an honorable Federal Court Judge to review to also proceed with the appeals as Sui-Juris ( My Own Counsel) and offer therefore the opportunity to the Respondent's listed to openly participate in the discovery of expository evidence I have that proves beyond the shadow of any doubt that my son JD;Z should have never been taken in the first place( please see Exhibits B and D).

Seeing I have never been charged with any crime, I therefore stand innocent of all and any false allegations and charges brought against me by the Respondent's : The Arizona Department of Child Safety, et al. I therefore demand the immediate and safe release of my son Jonathon David; Zeek back into my safe and loving care where he truly belongs in support of my Habeas Corpus I filed on the behalf of my son JD;Z on January 7th,2019).

TROXEL vs GRANVILLE:

The U.S. Supreme Court ruled on the issue of grandparent visitation rights in the 2000 case of 530 U.S. 57, which involved a dispute between a Washington-state mother and her ex-boyfriend's parents.

When Brad Troxel, the children's father, died in 1993, his parents informed the mother, Tommie Granville, that they wanted to maintain a relationship with the couple's two daughters.

Washington law at the time allowed “any person” to petition for visitation “at any time” and gave state courts discretion to grant that visitation when it was in the child’s best interests.

But the majority of the Troxel court struck down the state statute as unconstitutional, finding it interfered with parents’ rights to raise their children as they pleased. Writing for the majority, Justice Sandra Day O’Connor said, “The liberty interest at issue in this case — the interest of parents in the care, custody, and control of their children — is perhaps the oldest of the fundamental liberty interests recognized by this Court.”

“Parents have a constitutional right to parent their own children “. Troxel Vs Granville, 530 U.S

Therefore I , Arlena Minerva ; Willes do hereby declare my intent to proceed with my appeals against the respondents and I hereby claim Judge J . Tuchi needs to be removed from this case 19-15723 ( CV 19-00068-PHX-JJT (JFM) for Fraud and Swindle, Ruling from the bench and not allowing me the injured party to speak on my own behalf therefore denying me the injured party Due Process of Law .

#### **LAWFUL NOTICE:**

**Notice to Agent is notice to Principal. Notice to Principal is notice to Agent.**

First a lawful notification letter TO UNKNOWN PROSECUTOR and ADMIN. STATE OF (DE FACTO) ARIZONA an improper Juris in Admiralty/Commerce/ Contract Law See Genesis 1:26-28 and 2:7 and Job 32:21 KJB

July 8th, 2019

Dear Arizona Department of Child Safety, Juvenile Court of Maricopa and Southwest Human Development - Phoenix, Arizona Fabricated DCS case#JD36346 vs CV-19-00068-PHX-JJT (JFM).

I Arlena Minerva : Willes , by **Special Devine Appearance** being one of “we the people” of de jure geographical Arizona do hereby put you on notice of the following trespass of the Law.

1. My right to the decision making in what is good or not good for my child is my decision alone. Any policy or statute that comes in conflict with my rights is void for any effect.
2. Fact is you are attempting to bring false charges against me and I hereby proclaim my innocence. You have no evidence. No first hand witness. No Co-berating witness. No

recording. No sworn testimony under oath or penalty of perjury. No sworn affidavit. No Claim. Nothing but attempting to use my ex-husband Billy Darrell Zeek as leverage to make my son a "Ward of the STATE" . This is pure hearsay. Void for lack of truth and evidence.

3. Servants in government do not have the authority to alter the Fundamental Laws laid down by we the people or the Constitutions or Treaties of the United States of America or of this State. These laws are supreme and no statute or policy may be in contradiction or it is VOID. More specifically, Rights are retained by we the people.
4. You are in violation of the following Amendments of the Bill of Rights 1791: Amendments I, IV, V, VI, VII, IX and X.
5. You are in violation or may if you continue to put yourself in violation of the following sections of Article I of the Constitution of the State of Arizona, Declaration of Rights; 1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27.

#### **Constitution of the State of Arizona PREAMBLE and BILL OF RIGHTS**

Grateful to Almighty God for life and liberty, we, the people of Arizona, in order to secure and perpetuate the principles of free government, do ordain and establish this CONSTITUTION.

#### **ARTICLE I DECLARATION OF RIGHTS**

Section 1. [**Inherent and inalienable rights.**] All men and women have the inherent and inalienable right to enjoy and defend their lives and liberties; to acquire, possess and protect property; to worship according to the dictates of their consciences; to assemble peaceably, protest against wrongs, and petition for redress of grievances; to communicate freely their thoughts and opinions, being responsible for the abuse of that right.

Sec. 2. [**All political power inherent in the people.**] All political power is inherent in the people; and all free governments are founded on their authority for their equal protection and benefit, and they have the right to alter or reform their government as the public welfare may require.

Sec. 3. [**Arizona inseparable from the Union.**] The State of Arizona is an inseparable part of

the Federal Union and the Constitution of the United States is the supreme law of the land.

Sec. 4. [**Religious liberty.**] The rights of conscience shall never be infringed. The State shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; no religious test shall be required as a qualification for any office of public trust or for any vote at any election; nor shall any person be incompetent as a witness or juror on account of religious belief or the absence thereof. There shall be no union of Church and State, nor shall any church dominate the State or interfere with its functions. No public money or property shall be appropriated for or applied to any religious worship, exercise or instruction, or for the support of any ecclesiastical establishment. No property qualification shall be required of any person to vote, or hold office, except as provided in this Constitution.

Sec. 5. [**Habeas corpus.**] The privilege of the writ of *habeas corpus* shall not be suspended, unless, in case of rebellion or invasion, the public safety requires it.

Sec. 6. [**Right to bear arms.**] The people have the right to bear arms for their security and defense, but the Legislature may regulate the exercise of this right by law.

Sec. 7. [**Due process of law.**] No person shall be deprived of life, liberty or property, without due process of law.

Sec. 8. [**Offenses bailable.**] All prisoners shall be bailable by sufficient sureties, except for capital offenses when the proof is evident or the presumption strong.

Sec. 9. [**Excessive bail and fines. Cruel punishments.**] Excessive bail shall not be required; excessive fines shall not be imposed; nor shall cruel and unusual punishments be inflicted. Persons arrested or imprisoned shall not be treated with unnecessary rigor.

Sec. 10. [**Trial by jury.**] In capital cases the right of trial by jury shall remain inviolate. In courts of general jurisdiction, except in capital cases, a jury shall consist of eight jurors. In courts of inferior jurisdiction a jury shall consist of four jurors. In criminal cases the verdict shall be unanimous. In civil cases three-fourths of the jurors may find a verdict. A jury in civil cases shall be waived unless demanded.

Sec. 11. [**Courts open. Redress of injuries.**] All courts shall be open, and every person, for an injury done to him/her in his/her person, property or reputation, shall have remedy by due course of law, which shall be administered without denial or unnecessary delay; and no person shall be barred from prosecuting or defending before any tribunal in this State, by

himself/herself or counsel, any civil cause to which he/she is a party.

Sec. 12. [**Rights of accused persons.**] In criminal prosecutions the accused shall have the right to appear and defend in person and by counsel, to demand the nature and cause of the accusation against him/her, to have a copy thereof, to testify in his/her own behalf, to be confronted by the witnesses against him/her, to have compulsory process to compel the attendance of witnesses in his/her own behalf, to have a speedy public trial by an impartial jury of the county or district in which the offense is alleged to have been committed, and the right to appeal in all cases. In no instance shall any accused person, before final judgment, be compelled to advance money or fees to secure the rights herein guaranteed. The accused shall not be compelled to give evidence against himself; a wife shall not be compelled to testify against her husband, nor a husband against his wife, nor shall any person be twice put in jeopardy for the same offense.

Sec. 13. [**Prosecution by information or indictment. Grand jury.**] Offenses heretofore required to be prosecuted by indictment, shall be prosecuted by information after examination and commitment by a magistrate, unless the examination be waived by the accused with the consent of the State, or by indictment, with or without such examination and commitment. The grand jury shall consist of seven persons, five of whom must concur to find an indictment; but no grand jury shall be drawn or summoned unless in the opinion of the judge of the district, public interest demands it.

Sec. 14. [**Unreasonable searches forbidden. Issuance of warrant.**] The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures shall not be violated; and no warrant shall issue but upon probable cause supported by oath or affirmation, particularly describing the place to be searched, and the person or thing to be seized.

Sec. 15. [**Freedom of speech and of the press. Libel.**] No law shall be passed to abridge or restrain the freedom of speech or of the press. In all criminal prosecutions for libel the truth may be given in evidence to the jury; and if it shall appear to the jury that the matter charged as libelous is true, and was published with good motives, and for justifiable ends, the party shall be acquitted; and the jury shall have the right to determine the law and the fact.

Sec. 16. [**No imprisonment for debt. Exception.**] There shall be no imprisonment for debt except in cases of absconding debtors.

Sec. 17. [**Elections to be free. Soldiers voting.**] All elections shall be free, and no power, civil or military, shall at any time interfere to prevent the free exercise of the right of suffrage.



Soldiers, in time of war, may vote at their post of duty, in or out of the State, under regulations to be prescribed by law.

Sec. 18. [**Attainder. Ex post facto laws. Impairing contracts.**] No bill of attainder, ex post facto law, or law impairing the obligation of contracts shall be passed.

Sec. 19. [**Treason defined. Proof.**] Treason against the State shall consist only in levying war against it, or in adhering to its enemies or in giving them aid and comfort. No person shall be convicted of treason unless on the testimony of two witnesses to the same overt act.

Sec. 20. [**Military subordinate to the civil power.**] The military shall be in strict subordination to the civil power, and no soldier in time of peace, shall be quartered in any house without the consent of the owner; nor in time of war except in a manner to be prescribed by law.

Sec. 21. [**Slavery forbidden.**] Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime, whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within this State.

Sec. 22. [**Private property for public use.**] Private property shall not be taken or damaged for public use without just compensation.

Sec. 23. [**Irrevocable franchises forbidden.**] No law shall be passed granting irrevocably any franchise, privilege or immunity.

Sec. 24. [**Uniform operation of laws.**] All laws of a general nature shall have uniform operation.

Sec. 25. [**Rights retained by the people.**] This enumeration of rights shall not be construed to impair or deny others retained by the people.

Sec. 26. [**Provisions mandatory and prohibitory.**] The provisions of this Constitution are mandatory and prohibitory, unless by express words they are declared to be otherwise.

Sec. 27. [**Fundamental rights.**] Frequent recurrence to fundamental principles is essential to the security of individual rights and the perpetuity of free government.

6. You (the Respondents), are in violation of International human rights violations under both

State & Federal law. Conspiring to deprive rights retained by the people. [18 U.S.C. 241] Deprivation of rights retained by the people by action under color of law, ie a statute, a policy, a regulation or rule. [18 U.S.C. 241] Embezzlement by using your position of employment as an advantage to commit the foregoing crimes while receiving a profit or gain. An Emolument Violation. [18 U.S.C. 643]

7. You are in violation to God in trying to use false and fraudulent doctrines of Parens Patriae and In Loco Parentis that the State is the Parent. This is the first instance of fraud and where the wheel falls off the legal wagon.

See Story of a Mother :  
Justice for Jonathon

Be advised, you are to **CEASE and DESIST** any further action and any action taken by you or any other part of your agency will be deemed as a trespass of the law after this notice. You will be subject to a Tort of 250,000 United States Dollars per agent per violation and 500,000 United States Dollars per agency per violation [18 U.S.C. 3571] and a Remonstrance pursuant to Article I section 1,2,7 & 11 of the Declaration of Rights of the Constitution of the State of Arizona.

Named Agents will also be held civilly and criminally responsible for trespass in their private/personal capacity and shall be treated as any common trespasser. **Trespasser Beware as per affidavit filed on July 3rd, 2019 that you as the respondents also owe me 5,000 U.S Dollars per day as you agreed upon not rebutting within 72 hours of service of affidavit . By your agreement you have agreed to pay the amount of 5,000 U.S Dollars a day until the safe release of my son JD;Z back into my safe and loving care where we all know he truly belongs.**

Please have no doubt I will prosecute those who deprive me or my son JD;Z of our liberty to be free. We have the Un-a- **lien-** able right to be left alone in peace. I do not consent. No Contract exists that proves your jurisdiction over me and my son JD;Z as "We the People".



Documents to follow shall/may/will include, a Judicial Estoppel, a brief in support of Habeas Corpus, an affidavit of government fraud against we the people, a legislative Petition of Remonstrance to impeach bad actors, a demand for bond and oaths, a public exposure of de facto fraud, followed by a tort claim and criminal charges. Or any others deemed necessary.

By Special Devine Appearance autographed in Writing

Arlena Minerva : Willes

Sui Juris, Jus Soli, a living soul, woman, an ambassador of Jesus Christ My Lord, a American state national, Beneficiary of the PCT/CQV Trust, Not trustee, a non-citizen/Citizen, non-Resident, non-Person, non-Representative, non-Agent. Notice to Agent is notice to Principal. Notice to Principal is notice to Agent.

I , Arlena Minerva ; Willes being of sound mind and body, a loving Mother , a living soul, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the living woman whose name is subscribed to the to the within Instrument and acknowledged before me that she executed the same in her authorized capacity and that by her autograph(s) on the Instrument the same woman who executed the Instrument.

Arlena Minerva, Willes Date before me 7/8/19


\_\_\_\_\_ Date before me \_\_\_\_\_

State of Arizona  
County of Maricopa

Subscribed and sworn before me this  
8th day of July 2019 by Arlena Minerva  
Willes

I certify under the PENALTY OF PERJURY under the lawful laws of Arizona State and the STATE OF ARIZONA , that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct. Witness my hand and official seal.

[Signature] Signature of Notary/Jurat



(Jfm)

-JJ+

Page 1

#JusticeForJonathon

Here is the story of my son, Jonathon David Zeek:

On September 6, 2018, my son Jonathon, a 15-year old child diagnosed on the Autism Spectrum, was medically-kidnapped by DCS and Phoenix Children's Hospital. Just ten (10) days prior, I, his loving Mother, took him to Dignity Health Urgent Care for abdominal pain and blood in his stool. (It should be noted that my son Jonathon had his appendix removed at Phoenix Children's Hospital in December 2016 because they said his appendix looked "unhealthy"--but apparently did not have Appendicitis.) Dignity Health Urgent Care referred Jonathon to Banner Hospital and Jonathon was transported to Banner via ambulance. Jonathon was treated at Banner for Colitis and endured a colonoscopy and many other medical procedures with the approval of I, his loving mother. On September 4, 2018, I discussed the discharge of Jonathon and stated my concerns about giving him steroids which Dr. Mullah was suggesting. During this discussion, I explained I was uncomfortable about the steroidal treatment for my son. I explained that I had planned on seeking alternative naturopathic modalities to help my son heal naturally.

On, September 5, 2018, I met with Dr's. Mullah and Stevens to discuss discharging Jonathon. Dr's. Mullah and Stevens were recommending the steroidal treatment be given to my son Jonathon prior to discharge. Again, I voiced my objection to the use of steroids for the treatment on my son Jonathon. I explained that I had done the research -- and Dr. Mullah stated to me, "Mrs.

Page 2

#JusticeForJonathon

Willes, are you asking Dr. Google?" (It was clear I had offended him.) I then responded that I had researched things and that I found the side effects far outweighed the benefits and that I wanted to discuss alternative treatments with my son's PCP provider upon discharge. This meeting ended with Drs. Mullah and Stevens stating that they would proceed with discharge but that I would need to meet with someone first. After making a quick phone call to my husband to come pick me and Jonathon up because Jonathon was to be discharged, I returned to Jonathon's room and was met by a hospital social worker. The social worker was trying to convince me to administer these steroids to my son. The social worker stated that it was "life-saving" for my son Jonathon to receive this drug. Once again, I explained my position clearly based on the facts of my research -- that the side effects and risks were not positive and that I would prefer to seek naturopathic methods of treatment moving forward. Then Dr's. Mullah and Stevens stepped back into the meeting and the social worker explained to Drs. Mullah and Stevens that I once again was declining the steroidal treatment for my son. Dr. Mullah proceeded to then threaten me (a loving Mother) by stating that the social worker could "make whatever calls she needed to make" -- but that they would still be proceeding with the discharge. I asked Dr's. Mullah and Stevens why the social worker was even there, and they proceeded to talk about steroidal treatment and how it was the only "life-saving" option available for my son -- and yet they were discharging him. I, as Jonathon's mother, once again clearly stated my position on the steroidal treatment and again explained to Dr's. Mullah and Stevens that I will be following up with my son's PCP provider

Page 3

#JusticeForJonathon

upon discharging my son (which I did the very next day). I also questioned Dr's. Mullah and Stevens as to why the social worker was even called -- Dr's. Mullah and Stevens said they wanted the social worker to explain to me the importance of allowing the steroidal treatment. (Note: Social workers are not trained medical professionals and know nothing about pharmaceuticals.)

Upon discharge, Dr. Mullah stated to the social worker that she could document this discharge as an "AMA" -- "Against Medical Advice." I was shocked and objected stating that my son's discharge was NOT against medical advice but rather all about my non-acceptance of the steroidal treatment given to my son prior to discharge. I also repeated that I would be taking Jonathon to see his PCP provider to address his GI issues and to seek alternative treatments for my son. Both Dr. Mullah and the social worker WALKED AWAY without any response, leaving me and Jonathon to continue with Jonathon's discharge. I was in shock with what was happening -- this after I had consented to EVERY other medical treatment and procedure while Jonathon was in their care. And yet, Dr. Mullah stated that I had refused ALL medical treatment when the medical records prove otherwise. In fact, I agreed to a colonoscopy, a blood transfusion, an endoscopy and biopsies and all other medical treatments--all of them, EXCEPT the steroids.

Jonathon was discharged from Banner Hospital by Dr's. Mullah and Stevens, and Jonathon returned home with me and his loving family in the evening on September 5, 2018.

Page 4

#JusticeForJonathon

On September 6, 2018, approximately 24 hours later, I received a knock on my door with the DCS stating they needed to see my home. I stated not without a court order or valid warrant -- which they didn't have. However, my husband suggested that I "talk with them" -- so, my husband allowed the DCS social workers into our home. The social workers walked through our home, looked in our refrigerator and pantry; they stated everything looked fine. The DCS social worker, Olivia Douma, began coaching Jonathon to say that he wanted to go to the hospital. I then explained that I had already set up an appointment with Jonathon's PCP provider since being discharged from Banner. The DCS social worker, Olivia Douma, begins to make false statements about Jonathon's medical condition in which I strongly objected to -- my son Jonathon had just returned from Banner Hospital and was resting on the couch. Olivia Douma made statements like "he's writhing in pain" and needs to "go to the hospital" right now. I explained that was clearly NOT the case. My husband, Jeff, convinces me to take my son to Phoenix Children's Hospital. The social workers follow me and Jonathon to Phoenix Children's Hospital. The nightmare truly began for my son Jonathon at this hospital.

Jonathon was admitted to Phoenix Children's Hospital Emergency Room and was given a room at midnight (approximately 5 hours later). Upon Jonathon being admitted, I was told by the nurse (Amber) that Jonathon had been given a "no food or drink order"

Page 5

#JusticeForJonathon

-- this, after Jonathon was begging for something to drink and after just 24 hours prior being instructed to "eat a normal diet" upon discharge at Banner Hospital.

DCS paperwork reflects that Jonathon was placed in DCS custody on September 7, 2018; however, DCS paperwork also reflects that Jonathon was placed in emergency DCS custody on September 9, 2018. I, his loving mother, was removed from Phoenix Children's Hospital by security and Phoenix PD on September 9, 2018, for "trespassing" and "not behaving" at Phoenix Children's Hospital. When I his loving mother was asking the hospital staff over and over for food and drink for my son Jonathon; every request was denied. I told them I was also seeking a second opinion with my son Jonathon's PCP; again my rights were denied. Instead, I was told I would be arrested for said trespassing if I returned -- and yet the Phoenix PD told me that I could come back in 24 hours. The DCS social workers then followed me home and served me with the Ex-Parte removal for "failure to protect child from abuse and neglect" and an "unstable home environment." The social worker then instructed me to NOT visit the hospital to see my son and that visitation would be scheduled.

Since September 9, 2018, I have seen my son only NINE (9) times, three of those visits were in person, the others via Skype visitation. As of September 2018, I have no knowledge as to my son's whereabouts or where he is even attending school. Jonathon has endured part of his colon removed without his or my consent -- with a colonoscopy CLEAR just 10 days prior -- a life-altering



Page 6

#JusticeForJonathon

surgery; parental alienation from I, his loving mother, for the past 10 months; being placed in a group home where he has already been struck in their care, all the while begging to come home to I, his loving mother; being isolated from his friends and loving family; and under the control of DCS and Southwest Human Development who will not allow me to even say the word "home" because this would give my son "hope."

Since Jonathon has been under the control of DCS, he appears highly undernourished, unkempt and highly stressed. He continues to beg to go home to I, his loving mother, his friends and family. His voice has been ignored in the Family Court and DCS administration throughout this 10 month long nightmare. Jonathon has stated to me that he's afraid the judge won't ever let him come home to me, his loving mother. Jonathon has also stated over and over that I, his loving mother, have never abused or neglected him in any way.

It should be noted that there are several discrepancies in the paperwork, fabrications, misrepresentations, and much more. When reviewing Jonathon's medical records from both Dignity Health Urgent Care and Banner Hospital, it is clear of the following facts:

1. No signs of neglect or suspicions of neglect; No signs or suspicions of abuse.

Page 7

#JusticeForJonathon

2. No nutritional deficiencies present (as of 8/31/18, just 10 days prior).

3. No imminent danger upon release at Banner Hospital, per medical records, and the child was sent home.

4. DCS entered our home without a valid court order or warrant; with an Ex Parte Removal being provided two days AFTER they had seized Jonathon.

5. DCS did not file a Petition with the Juvenile Court within 72 hours of his removal -- they held a Team Meeting -- but did not Petition the Court for dependency/custody, which is mandatory per State law.

It is clear, my son Jonathon has suffered enough. It is clear, he needs to be released back into the care of I, his loving mother, immediately. It is clear that our Family should never have been separated nor should Jonathon have ever had to endure the medical procedures forced upon him at the hands of Phoenix Children's Hospital. During the six weeks in their care, they denied him food and water--starving him prior to removing a portion of his colon. Initially, I was told Jonathon's entire colon would be removed (a colectomy) -- but 7 months later, I heard from Jonathon's biological father that they reversed this surgery and only removed a "portion" of his colon. This, after all medical records prove Jonathon's colon had no abnormalities, no cancer and just an area of inflammation just 10 days prior to being in the control of

Page 8

#JusticeForJonathon

DCS and under the care of Phoenix Children's Hospital. Jonathon also released 18 pounds in those six long weeks of physical distress and withheld access to food or water. This is child abuse and was all done without mine or Jonathon's consent.

It is clear that the DCS is also guilty of not providing my son proper nutritional support since this horrific surgery, as he appears malnourished and thinner each time I see him. I have been demanding for my son's safe return so I can get Jonathon the appropriate medical care he so desperately needs. The DCS can't even get him a haircut or provide for his basic needs for clothing.

At present, three attempts have been filed with the court to provide an "Emergency Hearing" -- no response has yet been provided to me to any of those requests -- with the first request being 4 weeks ago now. Further, numerous attempts have been made to meet with the DCS Supervisor, Jessica Anthony, with regard to Jonathon's return home -- the DCS Supervisor refused to meet with me and deferred to the court to deal with this case!!! Since Jonathon has been in the control of DCS, he has been struck, not well groomed, and is physically and emotionally suffering as a result of this injustice. They are clearly ignoring the facts of the medical records which clearly show I his loving mother was seeking medical care for my son and complying with all medical advice. So many inconsistencies, fabrications, lies, and false reports. After all of this, are we really supposed to believe that my son Jonathon is safe and truly better in the care of a group home? I am here for JUSTICE for Jonathon -- I demand

Page 9

#JusticeForJonathon

Jonathon be returned back home with I, his loving mother, immediately. As of July, 2019, this case is now currently before the 9th District Court of Appeals.

Thanks for listening.

Jonathon's Army, seeking  
#JusticeForJonathon  
602.585.3082



Page 10

#JusticeForJonathon

The Social Security Act of 1935, Title XI Section 1101 (6)(d) states the following: "Nothing in this Act shall be construed as authorizing any Federal official, agent, or representative, in carrying out any of the provisions of this Act, to take charge of any child over the objection of either of the parents of such child, or of the person standing in loco parentis to such child."

Arizona Revised Statutes - Sec 1-601(A) and (B)- Parents' Bill of Rights: A. The liberty of parents to direct the upbringing, education, health care and mental health of their children is a fundamental right. B. This state, any political subdivision of this state or any other governmental entity shall not infringe on these rights without demonstrating that the compelling governmental interest as applied to the child involved is of the highest order, is narrowly tailored and is not otherwise served by a less restrictive means.

ASFA: SEC. 401. Preservation of Reasonable Parenting - Nothing in this Act is intended to disrupt the family unnecessarily or to intrude inappropriately into family life, to prohibit the use of reasonable methods of parental discipline, or to prescribe a particular method of parenting.