1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON		
3	PORTLAND DIVISION		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )		
5	Plaintiff, ) Case No. 3:19-cr-00112-JO		
6	)		
7	v. ) April 19, 2019		
8	GLEN STOLL, )  Defendant. ) Portland, Oregon		
9	Defendant. ) Portland, Oregon		
10			
11			
12			
13	FIRST APPEARANCE AND ARRAIGNMENT		
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE STACIE F. BECKERMAN		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		APPEARANCES
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	
3		SCOTT E. BRADFORD U.S. Attorney's Office
4		1000 SW Third Avenue Suite 600
5		Portland, OR 97204
6	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	NOAH A. F. HORST
7		Levi Merrithew Horst PC 610 SW Alder Street
8		Suite 415 Portland, OR 97205
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	COURT REPORTER:	Jill L. Jessup, CSR, RMR, RDR, CRR, CRC
15		United States District Courthouse 1000 SW Third Avenue, Room 301
16		Portland, OR 97204 (503)326-8191
17		
18		* * *
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

(April 19, 2019)

(In open court:)

MR. HORST: We need just a moment, Your Honor.

Judge, thank you for the time.

Noah Horst, H-o-r-s-t. My bar number is 076089. I'm with Mr. Stoll. He just needed to review the release conditions that Pretrial Services was recommending. We're ready to proceed.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BRADFORD: The next case before the Court is
United States of America v. Glen Stoll. Case No. 19-cr-00112.
This case is assigned to Judge Jones. The defendant is
present, in custody, and accompanied by counsel, Mr. Noah
Horst, who I think is going to be seeking panel appointment.

MR. HORST: Right.

MR. BRADFORD: Now is the time set for an arraignment on a six-count indictment alleging conspiracy, tax fraud violations, or criminal tax violations, bank fraud, and making false statements to financial institutions.

The case is under seal, and I would move at this time to unseal the case.

THE COURT: Mr. Horst, any objection to unsealing the case?

MR. HORST: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. I will grant the government's 1 2 motion to unseal the case in its entirety. MR. HORST: Thank you. 3 Mr. Horst, are you seeking appointment 4 THE COURT: today? 5 MR. HORST: I am, Judge. 6 7 Okay. Have you had a chance to determine THE COURT: whether Mr. Stoll qualifies for court-appointed counsel? 8 MR. HORST: Judge, I have not done that, but my 9 10 understanding is that the federal public defender in Washington 11 made a determination, or at least had Mr. Stoll fill out a 12 financial affidavit, and the case was routed to me. 13 believe that he has been previously determined to qualify, and 14 I would ask for appointment on this case. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Does our Federal Public Defender's Office have a conflict? 16 17 MR. BRADFORD: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 19 Good afternoon, Mr. Stoll. You have the right to be 20 represented by a lawyer in this case. If you want to hire a 21 private lawyer at your own cost, you can do that. But if you 22 cannot afford to pay a lawyer right now, I'll appoint 23 Mr. Horst, who is an experienced federal criminal defense 24 lawyer on our Criminal Justice Act panel of lawyers, to serve

25

as your lawyer.

Is that what you would like me to do?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I have agreed to defer to my counsel. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

I will make a finding that Mr. Stoll qualifies for court-appointed counsel, and I'll enter an order appointing Mr. Horst to serve as his counsel.

Mr. Horst, has Mr. Stoll had a chance to review a copy of the indictment?

MR. HORST: Judge, he has. We reviewed it together this morning in my office before he turned himself in to the marshals.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Is it his wish to enter not guilty pleas at this time?

MR. HORST: It is, Judge. We'll proceed as named and we'll waive further reading and advice of rights and request a jury trial.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Stoll, you do have the right to review a copy of the indictment in this case. I understand you've had a chance to take a look at that. I also want to remind you that you have the right to remain silent. What that means is you're not required to speak to anybody from the government about these allegations. And if you do talk to anybody other than your lawyer, your statements can be used as evidence against you.

That also applies to jail calls, so if you are ever in custody and you're talking on the phone, those calls are monitored and statements that you make to friends or family could be used as evidence against you.

I will enter not guilty pleas to the seven-count indictment.

Mr. Bradford, how many days do you anticipate for trial?

MR. BRADFORD: The government's case-in-chief would take approximately one week.

THE COURT: Okay. For today's purposes, I'll set it for a five-day jury trial to begin on June 18, 2019, at 9:00 a.m. before Judge Jones. Discovery is due in 14 days.

Mr. Bradford, is the government seeking pretrial detention in this case?

MR. BRADFORD: We are not.

We agree with Pretrial's assessment and proposed conditions, but I would like to raise a few issues with the Court aside from those conditions at the appropriate time today.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. BRADFORD: Thank you. I would note, specifically, that Mr. Stoll has had an injunction, a permanent injunction, issued against him by a court. I've tendered a copy of that injunction to the courtroom deputy for the Court's review. That was issued in the Western District of Washington

in 2005. Mr. Stoll has been in violation of that injunction and the conditions outlined on page 14 of that injunction since 2005. He's also held himself out in the community as a lawyer and has engaged in the unauthorized practice of law. It's unclear whether he has any official government identification, like a state-issued driver's license. So those are additional issues the government is concerned about.

Mr. Stoll does subscribe to some sovereign citizen beliefs and is associated with some sovereign citizen groups.

To that point, I would advise him, here in open court, that if he files any documents, liens, et cetera, against the Court or any government official, that is a separate crime under 18 United States Code § 1521, and the government will pursue charges if he does or has someone do that on his behalf.

I note that Pretrial has incorporated the conditions of the injunction and its proposed conditions of release, but he will be in violation of that immediately if the Court releases him with these conditions. So I would give him 24 hours to take down the website, as required by the injunction.

If he does not do so, then the government will likely seek a warrant, or something, for him being in violation of his proposed conditions of release.

Again, he's had plenty of time to take that down and follow the injunction.

THE COURT: Mr. Bradford, let me ask you. The

injunction is covered in the proposed release conditions, and I believe the -- the state ID issue is somewhat addressed in the sense that a defendant shall not operate a motor vehicle without a government-issued driver's license.

Do you need a separate condition relating to unauthorized practice of law, or is that covered in the release condition that prohibits Mr. Stoll from violating any state or federal or local law?

MR. BRADFORD: I believe it's covered in the general condition, but I want it to be specific on the record today and articulate that that issue is covered, if the Court agrees, to put the defendant on notice that he cannot do that, given these release conditions; that we don't get hyper-technical or wordsmith with the proposed conditions of release.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Horst?

MR. HORST: Thank you, Judge.

I've had a chance to briefly -- when Mr. Stoll came out and reviewed the conditions of release with Pretrial Services, I've requested that he sign this document in open court, which he has done, and he's prepared to abide by those conditions.

I did receive a copy of this injunction. As far as I know, it's not going to be a problem. If it is, I will come back to court to address any issues that we might have with it.

I don't know -- is it the Remedies of Law website? Is

that what you're talking about that needs to come down?

MR. BRADFORD: Yes. That's the one, Your Honor.

ahead and sign the release order. That means you will not be placed in custody pending trial. Trial can sometimes be set out for quite some time, so pretrial detention is a pretty big deal. I believe that you at this point do not pose a risk of danger to the community or a risk of nonappearance, so I'll sign the release order. But I agree with Mr. Bradford's concern with whether or not what you're doing in your daily life may violate some of these release conditions. You have heard him say if you don't take down or cause to be taken down the Remedies at Law website, that that would be a violation of these rules that we're handing over to you.

I'm not going to prejudge that, but I think that's probably likely true because that does arguably violate this permanent injunction, and so that's a very serious matter. If you break any of these rules, you will likely be arrested on a warrant from the Court and be right back here and likely be detained pending trial and be put in custody until trial, and sometimes that can be up until a year. So that's a really big deal.

And so it's very important that you follow all of these conditions of release, including abiding by this permanent injunction; including if you're driving, you're driving with a

valid driver's license. You are not allowed to practice law if you don't have a law degree. All of those things, if you do them, will be a violation of the conditions of your release, and that would cause your release to be revoked, and you would be sitting in custody pending your trial.

So nobody wants that to happen to you. So we'll have to be very clear, if you have any questions about what you're allowed to do and what you're not allowed to do while you're on release, please ask Mr. Horst, please ask your Pretrial Services officer, because we don't want to trip you up on a technicality, but all these rules are very important.

Do you have a question for your lawyer?

Go ahead.

MR. HORST: Judge, Mr. Stoll is just concerned about inadvertently violating one of the Court's orders, which makes sense to me, and it's just requesting that he receive notice if he's in violation. I mean, clearly some of these orders, like if you drive, you have to have a valid license, that seems pretty clear to me and hard to be -- not to be in compliance, but some of these -- the other orders I frankly don't -- I'm not fully familiar with this injunction, so I would ask that if -- if the parties think that he is violating something, that I be allowed to intervene. I think we have a pretty good relationship, and I can help Mr. Stoll comply with those conditions, and I think that that good relationship is

demonstrated by his behavior in court today and also him turning himself in.

THE COURT: Mr. Bradford?

MR. BRADFORD: I appreciate Mr. Horst's concerns and his desire to intervene on his client's behalf, but that would be inappropriate and improper for us to give prior notice of a violation where it would require his arrest. There's a safety risk, a harm to the community, those types of thing. So we can't agree that we would always give prior notice that he's in violation.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BRADFORD: I think Pretrial probably has similar feelings. I know there's some times where we do give prior notice to sort things out, but to make that a blanket requirement, the government would object.

MR. HORST: Judge, I'm not asking -- just to be clear, I mean, obviously, if there's a safety risk, there's nothing I can do. But if there's another website or some other issue that we haven't addressed here today in court, that it becomes apparent that Mr. Stoll has not been able to comply with, I would just like a heads-up from Pretrial so I can work with Mr. Stoll to come into compliance.

THE COURT: Okay. And, certainly, everybody in this system acts reasonably, and so oftentimes there will be a concern that you're doing something you're not supposed to do,

and Pretrial will have a conversation with you about it.

Sometimes the government's involved with that; sometimes it's not. If it's a major violation that's putting people at risk, there's the risk that you would have a warrant issued without knowledge of that. That happens.

But, usually, Pretrial is going to work with you to make sure you understand what you're allowed to do, what you're not allowed to do, and you'll have conversations about that. And I would invite Mr. Horst to have a conversation with Mr. Bradford about his -- his view of what would constitute a violation and what wouldn't because he's obviously been working with these facts, the situation for longer than you have, and so for him to share his views on what these possible pitfalls are would be helpful.

So we don't want to -- nobody wants to trip Mr. Stoll into a violation or set up a trap, but we do need him to follow the law while he's out on release, and some of the things that are alleged here, some of Mr. Bradford's concerns, are violations of the law that have been happening up until today. So the concerns are that those things stop and so that while you're on pretrial release, you're abiding by the law a hundred percent of the time.

Mr. Stoll, the other concern is you need to be in court when you're ordered to be in court. So if you fail to appear for a sentencing or a -- a hearing, that could be a whole other

criminal offense you could be charged with. And any time on that offense would be added to the time for this case.

And so it's really important to keep in touch with your lawyer. He needs to know how to reach you so he can keep apprised of any future court dates.

If you do have any questions about the conditions of your release, certainly always reach out to your Pretrial Services officer. They can answer those questions. And Mr. Horst will be there for you as well.

Mr. Horst, anything further that we need to discuss this afternoon?

MR. HORST: Judge, I know that usually these cases get set over past their initial appearance. I just wanted to let the Court know I'm scheduled in trial in Multnomah County already on the 18th.

THE COURT: On?

MR. HORST: On June 18th.

THE COURT: Certainly, I would be surprised if the trial went forward on June 18th, but if there's some concern or there's no waiver of the Speedy Trial Act and you think that that is a date certain that is going to play out, I'd urge you to contact Judge Jones' chambers and try to find a different trial date.

MR. HORST: I will. Thank you, Judge.

MR. BRADFORD: Thank you.

```
Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Stoll.
               THE COURT:
 1
          I'll go ahead and sign the release order.
 2
               MR. HORST:
                            Thank you.
 3
                            (Hearing concluded.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE United States of America v. Glen Stoll 3:19-cr-00112-JO FIRST APPEARANCE and ARRAIGNMENT April 19, 2019 I certify, by signing below, that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the record, taken by stenographic means, of the proceedings in the above-entitled cause. A transcript without an original signature, conformed signature, or digitally signed signature is not certified. /s/Jill L. Jessup, CSR, RMR, RDR, CRR, CRC Signature Date: 7/29/19 Official Court Reporter Oregon CSR No. 98-0346 CSR Expiration Date: 9/30/20