1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
4	Plaintiff,) No. 3:19-cr-00112-JO
5	vs.) December 19, 2019
6	GLEN STOLL,) Portland, Oregon
7	Defendant.)
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	STATUS CONFERENCE
L 5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
L 6	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. JONES
L 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SENIOR JUDGE
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Donna Maddux Assistant United States Attorney 3 U.S. Attorney's Office 1000 S. W. Third Avenue Suite 600 4 Portland, OR 97204 5 FOR THE DEFENDANT: Noah A.F. Horst 6 Levi Merrithew Horst LLP 610 S. W. Alder Street 7 Suite 415 Portland, OR 97205 8 COURT REPORTER: Nancy M. Walker, CSR, RMR, CRR 9 United States District Courthouse 1000 S. W. Third Avenue, Room 301 10 Portland, OR 97204 (503) 326-8186 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. Please have a seat.

MS. MADDUX: Good morning, Your Honor. Donna Maddux appearing today on behalf of the United States.

We're here in the matter of United States versus Glen Stoll. It's Case No. 19-cr-112. The defendant is present. He is out of custody. Appearing with him at counsel table is his attorney, Noah Horst.

This is the time set for a status conference regarding the trial date. I'm going to defer to defense counsel for his remarks.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel?

MR. HORST: Good morning, Judge. Noah Horst, H-o-r-s-t.

Ms. Maddux and I were discussing the currently scheduled February trial date, and I told Ms. Maddux there is no way that we were going be ready, given the amount of investigation and designation of the case, et cetera. We decided it would be better to come in and talk to you directly about that rather than filing a continuance motion.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. HORST: So here we are today. And I am asking now, and I can ask by written motion and declaration if the

Court would like me to do that, for a continuance a little bit further into the spring.

I think one of the considerations that the Government has is one of the case agents may be retiring soon, and they are seeking some sort of certainty regarding the trial date, which I'm okay with, although I don't know that I'm ready right now to commit to some specific trial date in the future. I think that we are getting close.

I was looking this morning at the associated case docket, the Bradys' case, which lasted approximately 21 months from indictment to plea.

We intend to go to trial on this case. It's been approximately 10 months since I've met Mr. Stoll for the first time. Our relationship, I would like to report, is excellent.

THE COURT: Good.

MR. HORST: We are communicating very well. And we are developing witnesses, case theory, investigation, which I'm happy to talk about outside the presence of --

THE COURT: How long do you think it will take to try the case?

MR. HORST: How many days?

THE COURT: Yeah, or weeks.

MR. HORST: Gosh, I think it's going to take a couple of weeks, Judge.

THE COURT: Yeah.

1 MS. MADDUX: And, Your Honor, I think the 2 Government's case is no more than five days in this matter. 3 We charged a very narrow window of time, and it's only the 4 activity that involves Mr. Stoll and the Bradys that is 5 charged in the indictment. 6 THE COURT: Are we going to put off the Bradys' 7 sentence until after the trial? 8 MS. MADDUX: That is the plan, Your Honor. We've 9 continued to push that back, knowing that we -- we're waiting 10 until we have some certainty about the trial. 11 THE COURT: You'll be calling them? 12 MS. MADDUX: Yes, Your Honor. We will be calling --13 Karl Brady will be testifying. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Well, I need a specific date, so we'll set that 15 16 today. 17 What would be your best -- realizing that if we get 18 closer to it, if you have continued problems, I'll address 19 them at that time, but I need a specific date today. 20 MR. HORST: Okay. May I have one second to speak with Mr. Stoll about that? 21 22 THE COURT: You can have more than that. 23 MR. HORST: Okay. Thank you. 24 (The defendant and his counsel confer off the 25 record.)

1 MR. HORST: Judge, we would like to ask for a 2 September trial date, recognizing that's far out. 3 That's too far. THE COURT: 4 MR. HORST: My other proposal would be to set 5 something in the early summer, with the recognition that it's 6 possible that I would come back to the Court again, asking for 7 more time. 8 And if we need to talk more about the details of the 9 defense investigation and as to why that is complicated and 10 time-consuming, I'm happy to do that. But, again, I'd ask the 11 Government to leave if we were going to put that kind of thing 12 on the record. 13 THE COURT: Well, I don't need it on the record. 14 We'll address them. But we'll set it in May. 15 Pick a date. 16 May I look at my calendar, Judge, in May? MR. HORST: 17 THE COURT: Sure. 18 MS. MADDUX: Your Honor, the Government is fairly open in May. 19 20 THE COURT: Fine. The discovery has produced how much material? 21 22 MS. MADDUX: Your Honor, I don't have a total amount 23 off the top of my head. But all of the discovery was produced 24 very early on in the case. We had a supplement to that

discovery that we produced a few months back.

```
1
              THE COURT: I know you specialize in careers, not
 2
    cases, so you set the record in a prior case with me.
 3
    about how many exhibits, just an estimate?
 4
              MS. MADDUX: We've talked with the agents about this,
 5
    Your Honor. I think we can get this in in under 200 exhibits.
                          Well, that's fine.
 6
              THE COURT:
 7
              MS. MADDUX:
                          Yeah.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          That's puny compared to what you're used
 9
    to.
10
              All right. Your date?
11
              MR. HORST: Judge, May looks pretty good to me.
12
    depends, I guess, on the Court's schedule. I would prefer
13
    later in the month as opposed to early in the month.
14
              THE COURT:
                          Something around the 15th, Becky.
15
              THE CLERK: We could do May 19th. That's a Tuesday.
16
              MR. HORST:
                          That would work for the defense, Judge.
17
              MS. MADDUX: And for the Government as well.
18
              THE COURT: Jury trial?
19
              MR. HORST:
                          Yes.
20
              THE COURT: Thank you.
21
              Anything further to cover today?
22
              MR. HORST:
                          There are a few things that Mr. Stoll
23
    would like to cover while we're --
              THE COURT: We're here to hear --
24
25
              MR. HORST: -- we're at attention.
```

1 Becky, did you have a question? 2 THE CLERK: Yes. Is he waiving his speedy trial 3 rights, on the record? 4 MR. HORST: That's right. 5 For the purposes of this continuance, from February 6 to May, Mr. Stoll will waive his rights to a speedy trial, 7 although he wanted me to remind the Court of his previous 8 objections and dissatisfaction with the timeliness that the discovery was provided in and his early insistence that we go 9 10 to trial. Even though I was asking for more time on the case, 11 Mr. Stoll was not. 12 THE COURT: Yes. I heard him. 13 MR. HORST: He was wanting to go to trial. 14 So I just wanted to speak for Mr. Stoll in that 15 regard. 16 So in answer to Becky's question, yes, from February 17 to May, he is consenting to that delay, as I understand it. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Or even to September. 19 MR. HORST: Or even to September, although that's not 20 the date. MS. MADDUX: Would it need to be effective today? 21 22 Would it be December, since we're allowing additional time 23 from today, so from December to May? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Why not?

MR. HORST: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. HORST: Thank you, Judge.

There are a few other things that Mr. Stoll would like to speak about with the Court. Those are his release conditions. And we had a long hearing several months ago where the Court very patiently went through those release conditions, and we very much appreciate that.

Mr. Stoll would like to ask the Court for permission to speak with his pastor, Paul Revere. He's been prohibited, at the Government's recommendation, from doing that.

And my recollection from our last in-person hearing was that the Court said something to the effect of "Well, if he's really a pastor and other people use him as a pastor, then we might consider it."

THE COURT: Is he here today?

MR. HORST: Paul Revere is not here today.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HORST: But I suppose one of the things that I would like the Court to address and maybe the Government to address is what the reason for that prohibition is.

THE COURT: Because he is a -- as far as I was concerned, he was a pseudo pastor, he wasn't a real pastor, and it's -- he doesn't have a congregation, that I know of, or a church. He's just an individual.

So that's where I am.

MR. HORST: Judge, even if that were true, it would typically be inappropriate in a criminal case to prohibit contact with anybody, unless there was some nexus to the allegations.

THE COURT: Well, we'll ask the Government.

MR. HORST: Thank you.

THE COURT: What is the nexus of Paul Revere?

MS. MADDUX: So two parts to the answer to your question, Your Honor.

 $$\operatorname{Mr}$.$ Revere is essentially someone who worked with ${\operatorname{Mr}}.$ Stoll in assisting the Bradys in this process. Based on our information --

THE COURT: Is he a tax advisor?

MS. MADDUX: He is not. He is the pastor of the Embassy of Heaven, which is part of Mr. Stoll's operation. He works in concert with the Embassy of Heaven in terms of allowing his clients to sign up to be part of a church.

I will say, Your Honor, after our last hearing and we had a discussion about this prohibition, I did a little research on whether or not these kinds of conditions are usually upheld at the Ninth Circuit. And had this -- knowing now this would have come up today, I would have brought some of that research with me.

But the Government is not seeking a no contact order between Mr. Stoll, at this time, and Paul Revere.

1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. That settles 2 that. 3 He may consult with Paul Revere at his pleasure. 4 MR. HORST: Thank you. And just for Mr. Stoll's benefit, there's no 5 restriction on their communication or contact whatsoever? 6 7 THE COURT: None whatsoever. 8 MR. HORST: Okay. 9 My understanding is that Mr. Nischik from pretrial 10 services is here. And I know that one of the initial conditions of that prohibition included the name Paul Revere 11 12 and some initials. I don't have that in front of me. 13 THE COURT: Well, they had the initials of the 14 Bradys. 15 MR. HORST: Okay. We're not seeking -- thank you, 16 Judge. 17 MS. MADDUX: Just for the record, I believe the initials were the initials of Mr. Revere's true name, because 18 19 Paul Revere is not his true name. 20 THE COURT: Okay. (The defendant and his counsel confer off the 21 22 record.) 23 THE COURT: Sir? 24 MR. HORST: Thank you, Judge. 25 One other issue that we would like to address with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Court, you'll recall the Court allowed Mr. Stoll to continue to perform his duties as a minister of the gospel, but the Court said no taxes, no banking. THE COURT: Right. MR. HORST: Or at least that's how --THE COURT: He's not to be advising anybody about taxes or how to evade paying them. MR. HORST: Understood. However, Mr. Stoll would like to be able to discuss taxes and bank matters so long as it's not, as the Court says, designed to advise people how to evade taxes or banking. It's difficult, as Mr. Stoll interprets these conditions very seriously, as he doesn't want to go into custody, he doesn't talk about banking or taxes or anything related to church administration. THE COURT: There's no reason for him to be talking to anybody about taxes. He's under indictment for tax evasion. And that's going to be enforced. (The defendant and his counsel confer off the record.) Excuse me. Forgive me, Judge. MR. HORST: Mr. Stoll would like to be able to communicate with

MS. MADDUX: Your Honor, the Government has no

the IRS about tax matters. Is that okay?

THE COURT: Counsel?

```
1
    objection to Mr. Stoll communicating with the IRS about his
 2
    own personal tax matters. But it would be a violation of not
 3
    only his pretrial release currently, but the injunction and
 4
    restraining order that is still in place out of the District
 5
    of Washington for him to communicate with the IRS on behalf of
 6
    a client or someone he represents.
 7
              THE COURT: He may not do that. But he may
 8
    communicate with the IRS about his own situation. Okay?
 9
              (The defendant and his counsel confer off the
10
    record.)
              MR. HORST: Judge, forgive me. I understand what you
11
12
    just said. Mr. Stoll would like me to help clarify what you
13
    just said. In light of his -- his duties as a church
    administrator --
14
15
              THE COURT: His duties as a church administrator does
16
    not involve giving other people tax advice.
17
              The whole thing is that you're out of the tax advice
    business until we have this trial.
18
19
              (The defendant and his counsel confer off the
20
    record.)
              MR. HORST: Judge, I'm trying --
21
22
              THE COURT: Would you like to have him speak directly
23
    to the Court?
24
              MR. HORST: Not really.
```

Okay. That's fine.

25

THE COURT:

```
1
               (The defendant and his counsel confer off the
 2
    record.)
 3
              MR. HORST: Again, I understand what the Court has
 4
    said.
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
 6
              THE DEFENDANT: I do, too.
 7
              THE COURT: I didn't ask you to agree with it.
 8
    That's my order.
 9
              MR. HORST: I understand. And at the risk of the
10
    Court getting angry with me --
11
              THE COURT:
                          I'm not going to get angry with you.
12
              MR. HORST: Okay. Thank you, Judge.
13
              Our position is that Mr. Stoll -- Mr. Stoll is an
14
    administrator of the church; and that as part of his duties as
    a church administrator, he is required to have some discussion
15
    about the financial issues that take place within the church.
16
17
              Our position is that by prohibiting him from doing
18
    that, it prevents his ability to serve his God in the way that
19
    he chooses to do that, which is through his church ministry.
20
              THE COURT: I can just state, we're just going to put
    that in neutral until this trial is over. And he's not to be
21
22
    involved in tax consultation in any form with anybody else,
23
    other than you, any experts that you choose to consult, and
24
    nobody else.
```

MR. HORST: And the IRS.

```
1
              THE COURT: Yes, certainly he can talk to the IRS.
 2
              (The defendant and his counsel confer off the
 3
    record.)
 4
              THE COURT: We're not going to allow him to continue
 5
    his tax consulting, how to evade paying taxes. That's where
    we are until this trial is over.
 6
 7
              MR. HORST: Understood.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
              (The defendant and his counsel confer off the
 9
10
    record.)
11
              MR. HORST: Judge, Mr. Stoll would like to try to
    clarify something with the Court. I'm not thrilled about him
12
    talking on the record, but I'm going to allow him, until I
13
14
    kick him, at which point he's to stop talking.
15
              Is it all right if he addresses the Court briefly on
16
    this issue?
17
              THE COURT:
                         Fine.
18
              MR. HORST:
                          Okay.
19
              THE COURT: Go ahead, sir. You can remain seated and
20
    speak into the mic.
              THE DEFENDANT: All right. Well, thank you very
21
22
           I appreciate this opportunity.
23
              The only concern I just wanted to address to you is
24
    that as a church administrator, I -- I do matters pertaining
25
    to taxes, but not advising as far as tax -- whether a person
```

is taxable, how to pay or file taxes. I've never done that sort of thing, and I have no interest in doing that. But there are obligations that church ministries have and concerns that people have regarding taxes.

And then there's a second thing which has to do with banking and transaction of funds that need to be done. It's very important for the survival of the church and -- and the needs that we have in our ministry work that we do. And people have relied on me to interface with banks and financial transactions to make sure everything is being done lawfully and properly and to intercede when there's a financial question or problem, that I help out in that sort of -- I used to, but since this prohibition, I have every intention of respecting your wishes, and I always have and I will continue.

But if I could get some kind of relief from you that I'm allowed to interface with these things in a lawful manner, I would really appreciate that.

And thank you very much.

THE COURT: What is your response?

MS. MADDUX: Your Honor, a large portion of the Government's case -- and, really, the factual allegations behind the permanent injunction -- involve Mr. Stoll advising clients. They sign paperwork, they pay him, and they join his ministry, which is in part related to the Embassy of Heaven.

So to the extent that Mr. Stoll is referring to his

role as a church administrator of church ministries, it's the Government's position that they are not valid churches, but essentially organizations designed and put on paper for the purpose of evading income.

We are aware, because it is part of the underlying Brady matter and part of this case, that as part of setting up these church ministries for people, Mr. Stoll will set up bank accounts in other names, sometimes under related church names.

He will create a name for them and essentially advise clients, just as he advised Mr. Brady, "Route your income outside of the normal mechanism, not to your own bank account, but to this ministry bank account I will hold for you. And then I will be the one to decide whether or not you get to spend funds or at least will be the one interfacing with the bank about it."

So the Government's position is that the very activity Mr. Stoll is talking about is the activity he is barred from doing underneath the permanent injunction, and he should not be allowed to carry on pending trial.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Do you want to respond?

(The defendant and his counsel confer off the record.)

THE COURT: Let me just state that I understand your position. I hope you understand my position. I also

appreciate your respect to the Court, that you're not being abusive or vocal, as we find in many of these tax cases.

I just can't let you give advice on taxation to anyone between -- other than to your own counsel or tax advisor, until we get to trial.

That's my order.

THE DEFENDANT: Sure. I appreciate that.

Now, did you want a response from me to her?

THE COURT: Any time.

THE DEFENDANT: Oh, thank you.

I just wanted to make sure you were aware that what we intend to prove is that the type of work that I do, that I've always been involved in, and I'm continuing and is being hindered now because of this, is running clean and sober houses, a mentoring program for children, and helping people getting out of sex trafficking, and other kinds of legitimate ministry work.

None of these things they're saying about me are true. And the kinds of prohibitions that are being placed are severely hindering the effectiveness and causing great expense to these worthwhile ministries.

And if we can be allowed -- if I can be allowed to engage in lawful, never giving tax preparation advice or anything of that nature -- I have no intentions to and I have no desire to. It's just the fact of assisting and interfacing

```
1
    with the lawful requirements that the church -- various church
 2
    ministries have in performing some of these various functions.
 3
              THE COURT: I understand your position. But what you
 4
    consider to be lawful the Government considers to be unlawful.
 5
    And that's to be the issue that we'll decide at the time of
 6
    trial.
 7
              So you'll not be giving -- consulting anyone about
 8
    their taxes.
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: "Assisting" is actually the term, not
10
    "consulting." Is it the same thing for you?
11
              THE COURT: Even assisting.
12
              THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
              Well, thank you for your consideration anyway. I
13
14
    appreciate that.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
16
              Anything further?
17
              MS. MADDUX: Not from the Government, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Thank you.
19
              Okay. Well, any time you want a consultation with
    the Court, I'm available.
20
21
              Thank you.
22
              MR. HORST: Thank you, Judge.
23
              MS. MADDUX: Thank you.
24
25
              (Proceedings concluded. )
```

--000--

I certify, by signing below, that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-titled cause. A transcript without an original signature, conformed signature or digitally signed signature is not certified.

/s/ Nancy M. Walker

2-5-20

DATE

NANCY M. WALKER, CSR, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter Oregon CSR No. 90-0091