TO: United States District Court for the District of Nebraska.

FROM: Thomas W. Hird.

CASE - 4:19CR3038.



Regarding - Personal Jurisdiction Challenge, with attached affidavit, and EXHIBITs..

NOTICE – This document can not be used to create any form of jurisdiction.

NOTICE - No oral arguments.

NOTICE - Court administrator, judge, is to take judicial notice of all evidence of written law herein incorporated.

Parties of this case – UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, a fiction – Thomas W. Hird, a man.

NOW, Thomas W. Hird, challenges, the moving party, to prove, evidence, what it's acting agents rely upon as to what was <u>said</u>, <u>done</u>, or <u>signed</u>, by the man called Thomas W. Hird, that gave this United States court personal jurisdiction over the man called Thomas W. Hird. See attached, incorporated, affidavit in support of this challenge.

## **Affidavit**

- 1. This affidavit is created, and <u>sworn</u> to be true, by the signed man called Thomas W. Hird, hereinafter as Affiant.
- 2. That Affiant is a man with rights, in the land of Nebraska, as such rights are enumerated in the 'Declaration of Independence 1776.
- 3. That Affiant has no evidence in Affiant's possession that Affiant has elected to become a United States citizen.
- 4. That Affiant has not elected to become a United States citizen.
- 5. That Affiant is a man of right, in the land called Nebraska, as such land is independent from the United States, or 'The United States for America', the union.
- 6. That Affiant has no evidence in Affiant possession that affiant has ever elected to contract with the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
- 7. That Affiant has not ever elected to <u>contract</u> with the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
- 8. That Affiant has no evidence in Affiant possession that affiant has elected to be domiciled, reside, or be a resident, with, or in, land of the United States, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, or 'The United States for America'.



DEC 2 3 2019

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

- 9. That Affiant has never been <u>domiciled</u>, one who <u>resides</u>, or a <u>resident</u>, within land of the, United States, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, or 'The United States for America'.
- 10. That Affiant has sent a USC 26 § 6203 'Request for an Assessment' to the IRS on, or about, the following dates:
  - a. January 1, 2012,
  - b. January 1, 2013,
  - c. January 1, 2014,
  - d. January 1, 2015,
  - e. January 1, 2016,
  - f. January 1, 2017,
  - g. January 1, 2018,
  - h. January 1, 2019.

And has not received any responses, determinations, or assessments, back from the Internal Revenue Service, as of this day of the making of this affidavit.

SEE - Example letter attached as **EXHIBIT** '6203'.

- 11. That Affiant has no evidence in Affiant possession, that Affiant has elected to make any income domestically, in the United States in any of the said years, or any other year.
- 12. That Affiant has not elected to make any income <u>domestically</u>, in the United States in any of the said years, or any other year.
- 13. That Affiant has no business, or contracts, with any city, county, or state, of the United States, or The United States of America, or the Plaintiff.
- 14. That there is no evidence that Affiant has ever been eligible, or is now eligible, to make, or subscribe, any Form 1040 Individual Income Tax Return, for the IRS.
- 15. That Affiant has never <u>made</u>, or <u>subscribed</u>, to <u>make</u>, or <u>file</u>, any Form 1040 Individual Income Tax Return, or any other Income Tax Return, for the IRS.
- 16. That Affiant has never been eligible to <u>verify</u>, subscribe, or provide information on any form associated with the United States, or the Plaintiff.
- 17. That Affiant has never placed any <u>signature</u>\* on any form associated with the United States, or the Plaintiff.
- 18. That Affiant only did what IRS agents ordered Affiant to do, as to any document is the possession with the IRS, or with the Plaintiff, as Affiant was in fear of harrassment, imprisonment, or assassination, by United States agents.

- 19. That Affiant only consents to pay in 'Money of Account' as such is defined in United States ;Stat at Large', for any billings from the Plaintiff.
- 20. That Affiant must be billed in 'Money of Account' for Affiant to consider such to be a true bill, sworn bill, from any agent in the IRS, or of the Plaintiff.
- 21. That Affiant has never received a true bill, signed assessment, or signed notice of deficiency, from the Plaintiff.
- 22. That all of Affiant's business for the last twenty plus years has all been without the United States territorially and contractually.
- 23. That Affiant's dwelling is without the United States.
- 24. That the mailing location of Kenney NE. < your business locations here.) are post locations without the United States, and without 'The United States of America'.
- 25. That Affiant agrees to pay any verified assessment made by agents of the United States, and the Plaintiff, providing such are done under penalties of perjury, and such men are held commercially personally liable for any fraud that may be attempted by such men, in the jurisdiction of the 7th Amendment common law court jurisdiction, as enumerated in The Constitution for the United States.
- 26. That Affiant elects to retain Paul John Hansen for all my 6th Amendment counsel as related to this issue. freeinhabitant.info
- 27. That Affiant does not consent to any business with this United States court that is captioned above, as associated with, case number **4:19CR3038**.
- 28. That Affiant has never consented to interact with any United States court as in wishing to consent/contract with United States congressional legislative written law, as is it's land-jurisdiction\*.
- 29. Negative averment no evidence exists, that the Plaintiff has evidence, that Plaintiff relied, or relies upon, as written law, as from USC Title 26 as having 'operation and effect to the territorial'\* (land), as land where Affiant did all Affiant's business with all counts of this case. "...construction of any statute as intended to be confined in its operation and effect to the territorial limits over which the lawmaker has general and legitimate power." American Banana Co.v. United Fruit Co..\*\*213 U.S. 347, 356-357.
- 30. That Affiant has <u>not</u> willingly, intentionally, do/did any act, as associated with this court, or case, or it's attorneys, or it's officers of this court, as wishing to create any form of jurisdictional <u>nexus</u> that any court administrator, or party to the case, can rely upon as evidence of any form of jurisdiction over Affiant as a man, or over any property owned by <u>me</u> Affiant, as a man.

- 31. That Affiant's election of jurisdiction is the people in the Nebraska land, as 'common law', as such is enumerated in the 7th Article (Amendment), Bill of Rights, of the 'Constitution for the United States.
- 32. That Affiant has never elected to be a US 'taxpayer'.
- 33. That Affiant has been in fear of acknowledging, in the past, the '6203 Request for Assessments' due to fear that it may have created a contractual bases, or even the appearance of such, for/of a duty with the United States. I found the 6203 information on the internet many years ago.
- 34. That Affiant maintain Affiants 'First Amendment Right' to be truthful, and not have to lie to have independence, and peace, with the United States of America, and it's swarms of agents.

l, Thomas W. Hird, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing Affidavit is true and correct. Executed on \_\_\_ day of the \_\_ month of 2019. Jurat: As <a href="mailto:sworn">sworn</a> to before the below signed Notary this \_\_\_ day of \_\_ month, 2019, Oath: I, Paul John Hansen, solemnly swear that the contents of the above mailed Affidavit as subscribed is <a href="mailto:correct and true">correct and true</a>.

Signed by: x Womas W. Hird, Affiant

STATE OF Nebraska COUNTY OF Buffalo

13. Personally, appearing before me the undersigned, an officer authorized to administer oaths, Thomas W. Hird, \_\_\_\_ with valid identification, and/or \_\_\_\_ personally known to me, who first being duly <a href="mailto:sworn">sworn</a>, deposes and says that the foregoing, 4 page, (7 mailed in total) instrument was, is, subscribed and <a href="mailto:sworn">sworn</a> before me, this 13 day of <a href="mailto:sworn">Dec</a> month, 2019. x <a href="mailto:sworn">State</a> of Nebraska

COLLEEN GUEST

My Comm. Exp. October 26, 2022

Judicial notice on the 'Evidence of Law' as seen below:

MORRIS V NATIONAL CASH REGISTER; GROUP V FINLETTER Defendant is likely to be the only individual, now or in the future, who is willing and able to place a sworn affidavit affirming the herein disclosed facts under penalties of perjury, into the record of this case and as such, in absence of sworn counter-affidavit signed under the penalties of perjury regarding these same facts, laws, case law and evidence, Defendant should be the only prevailing party. Morris v National Cash Register, 44 S.W. 2D 433, clearly states at point #4 that "uncontested allegations in affidavit must be accepted as true.", and the Federal case of Group v Finletter, 108 F. Supp. 327 states, "Allegations in affidavit in support of motion must be considered as true in absence of counter-affidavit." MN Rule 56, same as FRCP basically for summary Judgment. (All US courts are subject to the same.) They had their chance to dispute any facts with my administrative process. Read all of MN Rules of civil procedure 56. 56.05. "No affidavits have been done to counter".... See Group v. Finletter - "Defendant has filed no counter affidavit, and

therefore for the purposes of the motion before the court, the allegations in the affidavit of the Plaintiff must be considered as true", - FCRP Rule 9(d), 28U.S.C.A. United States v. Kis "Indeed, no more than affidavits is necessary to make the prima facie case"; U.S. v. Tweel "Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading...We cannot condone this shocking behavior...This sort of deception will not be tolerated and if this is routine it should be corrected immediately." "Jurisdiction can be challenged at any time." Basso v. Utah Power & Light Co., 495 F 2nd 906 at 910.

## Terms Defined:

- 1. \*signature synonymous with agreement.
- \*operation and effect to the territorial', and \*Land Jurisdiction All congressional law is territorial. "...construction of any statute as intended to be confined in its operation and effect to the territorial limits over which the lawmaker has general and legitimate power." American Banana Co.v. United Fruit Co.,\*\*213 U.S. 347, 356-357

-->>>--

Below is the 'Template' as to what was mailed to the IRS each year:

Mailed to the IRS on January 1, 20\_\_

TO: Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue, P. O. Box 149338 Austin, TX 78714-9338 ((< change to fit your District.))

FROM: Thomas W. Hird.

xxx Street,

Omaha, Nebraska [near 68110], post location without the United States.

The Social Security number that was given me in error was: xxx-xx-xxxx < not to evidence me as a United State citizen.

RE: Proposed Individual Income Tax Assessments for Tax Years 20\_\_. (< just fill in the year in question and mail the first week of each year you wish to have an assessment prepared for you.)

TO whom it may concern,

Regarding - Proposed individual Income Tax Assessments, regarding tax years 20 .

I NOW request that an IRS agent that has evidence that I have a duty to file, or to pay, any obligation to the United States to do the following forthwith:

- 1. Assess if I am a 'person' subject to any provisions of Title 26 USC.
- 2. Assess if my business activities are in land where Title 26 USC has effect territorially, as in-land of the United States legislative scope.
- 3. Assess me if I am eligible to file any Form 1040.
- 4. Assess me if I am required to self assess any taxable activity as related to me as a

man.

- 5. Notice, all documents received from me by the IRS, or United States is only due to IRS agents failure to respond and my fear of arrest, or even death by acts of IRS agents for requesting such assessments.
- 6. I am not qualified to make any determination as to any duty I may have, I am neither an accountant, nor a trained attorney.
- 7. So, in order for me to have a better understanding of how any IRS agent arrived at any claim, or ;Notice of Deficiency' I would appreciate it if the person reading this letter would have the designated IRS Assessment Officer send me a certified assessment and a copy of the supporting record used to make the assessments pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 6203, for the year in question.
- 8. Upon receiving the assessments from the Assessment Officer, I plan on having the assessments, or Notice of deficiency, verified by a local accounting firm. Once the accounting firm has verified the assessments I will contact this IRS office and make the necessary arrangements to pay the assessments for the same tax year. I would appreciate a response to this letter as soon as possible so these matters can be resolved without any further delay and avoid the necessity of me sending in documents that I am not qualified, or eligible to complete.
- 9. I have a right not to be forced to do any act that could be construed as a crime.
- 10. I do not wish to make any donations to the United States, I only wish to pay as to what Congressional written law applies to me and my business activities.
  - a. I have not elected to become a United States citizen.
  - b. I have no evidence of making any income in land owned by the United States, as such land (ceded, or places purchased) is enumerated in Article 1, Section 8, Paragraph 17 as found in 'Constitution for the United States.
  - c. I have no known contracts with the United States.

Thomas W. Hird 4 713-xxx-xxxx

**EXHIBIT '6203"** 

## -- Proof of Service / Certificate of Service --

I, Thomas W. Hird, hereby certify/attest that on the 13 day of December 2019, that a copy of the above, attached, <u>Personal Jurisdiction Challenge</u>, with Motion, and this Proof, Certificate of Service, was mailed, to the following:

a. U.S. Attorney's Office - Dist. of Nebraska Assistant United States Attorney, 100
Centennial Mall, N #487, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508.

1620 Dodge Street # 1400

Omaka NE 68102

b. Clerk of the Court, Robert V. Denney Federal Building, 100 Centennial Malt North, Room 593, Lincoln, NE 68508.
c. That the original Personal Jurisdiction Challenge was filed on the date of 31, OCT, 2019, yet forgetting to add this/a 'Proof of Service'.

Chomas W. Hird

**NOTICE** - Being a non-attorney, I ask the court clerk to arrange a hearing for this Defendant to call up the attached challenge.

Dgg #272. Filedie 12/23/19 4:19-cr-03038-JMG-CRZ Kearney NE. 68847 արդրդագույնիկութվութերերիկներոնի District Court Clerk
111 South 18th Plaza
Omaha NE. 68102 RECEIVED