

FILED (DROP BOX)

NOV 25 2022

Foreign Plea in Abatement

Abatement Plea / Subornation of False Muster

United States District Court

1. To the jurisdiction of the Western District of Washington at Seattle Court; in Cause No. 2:22-cv-01130-TSZ
Case Name or Incident No. United States of America v. Glen A. Stoll, et al.

2. To the disability of the person of the Plaintiff, as Common Law commercial Defendant/Obligated Party;
City/County/State/Country/Other: United States of America

by and through their Agents, or others, who are also Common Law commercial Defendants / Obligated Parties in their personal capacities, whether as Law Enforcement, Prosecutor, or other Suborner / Offender:
Dylan Cerling, Yen Jeannette Tran, David A. Hubbert

3. To the disability of the person of the Defendant; I, the claimant, Glen Stoll,

am nota Defendant but an Injured Party in this Common Law commercial Action for reasons stated herein;

4. And to the form of the writ: Summons and Complaint;

after considering the advice and instruction given me by: above named Obligated Parties and others,
I hereby enter a plea of **Subornation of False Muster**, which must be cured as explained on page 2.

Common Law Commercial Claim for Damages

Certain action and neglect by the above named obligated parties has caused damage for which I am seeking restitution by reimbursement of expenses and restoration of property in the same or better condition than it was when taken. A complete description and itemization is contained in the attached affidavit, "Memorandum of Fact, Agreement, and Law." If such action or neglect continues, or no good faith response is made by the 16th day of December, 2022 I will file a complaint for *Conspiracy to Deprive Rights Under Color of Law*.

NOTICE: THIS IS AN OFFICIAL COUNTER-FORFEITURE CLAIM FOR DAMAGES AGAINST THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS / OBLIGATED PARTIES, AS WELL AS A NOTICE OF INTENT TO LIEN, AND IS INTENDED TO MEET ALL NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR ADDITIONAL LAWFUL ACTION AGAINST THEM SHOULD FURTHER PURSUIT BECOME NECESSARY.


Less Stringent Standards for Non-attorney and Severability

According to *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519-421, Non-attorney litigants are to be held to less stringent standards than bar licensed attorneys; *Platsky v. C.I.A.*, 953 F.2d. 26 (2nd Cir. 1991), Court errs if it dismisses pleadings of a non-attorney litigant without instruction of how they are deficient and how to repair them; and *Anastasoff v. United States*, 233 F.3rd. 898 (8th Cir. 2000) All litigants have a constitutionally-secured right to have their claims adjudicated according to the rule of precedent. Although this case is not any of the above-cited cases, nor am I a litigant/defendant, the less stringent standard still applies. If anything in this *Abatement / Claim for Damages* is held to be unlawful or otherwise invalid, the rest of it shall remain in full force and effect.

This affiant acknowledges that the foregoing and page two following are true, correct and certain, materially complete, relevant and not misleading on the 23rd day of November, in the year of our Lord 2022, at Starwood, Washington, before the undersigned witnesses.


Affiant/Claimant: Glen Stoll


Witness Janet Spangler


Witness Philip Nelson

send mail c/o: Director of the Family Defense League
16910 - 59th Avenue NE, Suite 210
Arlington, Washington 98223

(Please print name below each signature.)

Foreign Plea in Abatement (Page 2)

Acknowledgment of Rights and Responsibilities

I expect a judicial officer involved in this matter to inform me of my rights, and protect those rights, at every stage of any and all proceedings. I understand that my rights, whatever they are, arise out of the duties and responsibilities I have with respect to my position and function in life. Under my pledge of allegiance to the jurisdictional authority of the Church, I have been commissioned by our King Jesus Christ (Yahshua) to go into the highways and byways with the Gospel, and at all times do unto others as I would have others do unto me.

Demand for Due Process

I demand all of my rights at all times, do not waive any of my rights at any time, including my time. I intend to speak, answer, and at all times make all decisions pertaining to myself and handle my affairs directly and not through agency, unless and until specifically and expressly so stated otherwise. Consistent with my attached *Declaration of Status*, which expresses my only consent to citizenship, I could not have made nor can I now make any appearance in the above named foreign court, special or otherwise, prior to or during the pendency of this abatement, whether personally or through agency; nor enter a plea at bar. Any appearance to the contrary could only be imposed upon me against my will or without my informed consent and therefore not valid.

Sui Juris Request for Assistance of Counsel

I cannot afford counsel and will need public funds for obtaining the services of competent, active, zealous, and effective "assistance of Counsel." Although I am capable of handling my own affairs (sui juris), and will not be represented by an attorney, I am not legally competent to represent myself in foreign courts and would be foolish to attempt it. Consequently, I am not "Pro Se," never intend to be, and any appearance to the contrary could only be imposed upon me against my will or without my informed consent and therefore not valid.

Notice of Want of Jurisdiction

No jurisdiction of law has been declared, and my jurisdictional challenge is hereby being made known to the judge who, along with other participants, may become personally liable for *Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law*. Any authority exercised under this "want of jurisdiction" is usurped authority and no excuse is permissible, according to Bradley v. Fisher; 13 Wall 335, 352. It was more recently stated in Rhodes v. Houston; 202 Fed. Supp. 624 at 630, that there is no judicial immunity for an act done in the absence of jurisdiction if a want of jurisdiction was first made known to the judge. The summary of Johnson v. MacCoy, 278 F 2d 37, (9th Cir., 1960) states at 37, that where a judge allows a matter to proceed without requiring jurisdiction to be established after having received a jurisdictional challenge, judicial immunity is pierced.

How Defects May Be Cured

I will need to be informed of the nature and cause of an accusation before being expected to participate. I will also need positive identification of the Plaintiff, of my accusers, of all known witnesses for or against me, and all of their representatives, along with a complete copy of all communication made, including but not limited to any notes, memoranda, or correspondence to or from all participants in this matter.

Therefore, please provide me with any and all information that exists, whether for or against me, along with a list verifying precisely what information has been, what is being, what is expected to be, and what will not be provided to me; so that I will be able to give a reasonable answer for my actions and be able to hold others accountable for theirs. This includes, but is not necessarily limited to the following particulars:

1. What jurisdiction of law is being used? If Common Law, identify the injured party; if Equity, the nexus (i.e. contract); if Admiralty, the port of entry, commission or enlistment.
2. Exactly who is the Plaintiff/Prosecutor that is charging me with a violation? If an artificial person, please provide proof of solvency, liability bonding and insurance information.
3. What have I been accused of? Identify the specific subsection, part and clause of whatever it is I am being accused of violating and explain how it applies to me.
4. Upon whose information is this action being brought? Name and identify my accusers (ex rel), with their commercial identification (i.e. full name, address, date of birth, employee and SSN).

Without all of the above and other information and conditions that are required by law having been met, I have no reasonable way of knowing what all of my options are, whether I should enter a plea other than this one, what witnesses I may need, if any, or what else I should provide or do in response. For this cause, I refuse all proceedings and presentments, demand a discontinuance of any further action against me, and expect prompt payment on my Common Law commercial Claim for Damages which is a counter-forfeiture action.



TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

United States of America)
)
v)
)
Glen A. Stoll, et al.)

Case: 22-cv-1130-TSV

Foreign Plea in Abatement



Director of the Family Defense League
1691D-59th Ave NE, Suite 210
Arlington, Washington 98223

U.S. District Court Clerk's Office
700 Stewart Street
Suite 2310
Seattle, Washington 98101